Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/761,404	STEENSGAARD, BJARNE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kenneth Tang	2195
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>After Final</u>		
(1) <u>Kenneth Tang</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Rene A. Pereyra (Reg. No. 48,500)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 June 2006	Time: <u>3PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed: 1-51		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed to amend the claims according to the Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
Aminer (1990)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		